

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/698,126 | 10/31/2003 | Arup Acharya | 590.116 | 8951 | |
| FERENCE & A | 7590 11/13/200 ASSOCIATES LLC | EXAMINER | | | |
| 409 BROAD S PITTSBURGH | | | SIKRI, ANISH | | |
| FILISBURGH | , FA 15145 | l | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2443 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/13/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/698,126 | | ACHARYA ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | ANISH SIKRI | 2443 | | |

| | / union on uni | 2440 | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period oxtunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| | liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be | filed within two months | of the date of | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | sideration and/or search (see NO | | cause | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better the application of the place of the application of the place of t | | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov | | i be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi | otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| /Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2143 | | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Notes: Further search is required for the amended claims, as the use of a "ongoing link" in Claim 1, 10, 19 requires further search and consideration. And the amended claims 1, 10 and 19 are non-compliant as "a" is striked out instead of using double brackets to indicate deletion, see 37 CFR 1,121.